#### 1986 December 6

### [DEMETRIADES. J.]

# IN THE MATTER OF ARTICLE 146 OF THE CONSTITUTION

#### ANDREAS Z. GEORGHIOU AND OTHERS,

Applicants,

ν.

# THE REPUBLIC OF CYPRUS, THROUGH THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION.

Respondent.

(Cases Nos. 111/81, 143/81).

Legitimate interest—Public Service—Change of title of post— No adverse financial repercusions for holders of post and no substantial change in the duties—The holders of such post do not possess a legitimate interest to challenge a decision informing them of such change.

5

Executory act—Informatory act—Public Service—Change of title of post in virtue of a law—Letter by the Public Service Commission informing applicants of their position an application of such law—Such letter is of an informatory character.

10

Public Officers—Promotions—A Public Officer has no vested right of promotion.

By virtue of the Supplementary Budget Law (No. 4) 1980 (Law 45/80) and the Supplementary Appropriation (Development Fund) Law (No. 2) 1980 (Law 46/80) the title of Lands Officer (on salary scale A. 10) was substituted by the title of Lands Officer 2nd Grade (on salary scale A. 10) as from 1.1.80. On 12.12.80 the relevant Order of the Council of Ministers (Section 3 of the change of Titles Law, Cap. 40) was published in the Official Gazette. By virtue of the aforesaid Laws there was also created

20

15

a new post of Lands Officer 1st Grade (on salary scale A. 11).

By letter dated 28.2.81 the respondent Commission intormed the applicant who held the post of Lands Officer, about the aforesaid change of the title of their post.

Hence the present recourse challenging the decision communicated by the said letter and the omission to emplace the applicants to the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade.

Held, dismissing the recourse: (1) The respondent Commission did not take any decision of an executory nature, but it simply informed the applicant about their position in the service on the application of the aforesaid Law and the Order of the Council of Ministers. If follows that the sub judice act is of an informatory character and therefore, not amenable to the jurisdiction under Article 146 of the Constitution.

- (2) The aforesaid change in the title of their post (I.d not entail any adverse financial consequences for the applicants or any substantial difference in their duties. Their allegation that they were demoted or reduced in rank cannot stand. It follows that they lack legitimate interest to pursue these recourses.
- (3) Furthermore, the applicants could not claim that they were entitled to be emplaced to the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade as this would amount to promotion for which they have no vested right.
- (4) There is no omission on the part of the Commission to do anything which they were bound to do under the relevant legislation.

30

5

10

15

20

25

Recourses dismissed.
Costs against applicants.

### Cases referred to:

Iordanou v. Republic (1985) 3 C.L.R. 476;

Preza v. Republic (1985) 3 C.L.R. 1008;

Polyviou v. The Improvement Board of Avia Napa (1935) 3 C.L.R. 1058:

Christodoulides v. Republic (1985) 3 C.L.R. 1979;

Tseriotis v. Municipality of Nicosia (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1;

Mavrogenis v. Republic (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1140;

5

Phylaktides v. Republic (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1328;

Economides v. Republic (1972) 3 C.L.R. 506;

Leontiou v. Republic (1983) 3 C.L.R. 221;

Nicolaou v. Republic (1984) 3 C.L.R. 400.

10 Recourses.

Recourses against the decision of the respondent emplace applicants to the post of Lands Officer 2nd Grade as from 1.1.1980 and not to the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade.

E. Lemonaris, for the applicants.

15

A. Papasavvas, Senior Counsel of the Republic, for the respondent.

Cur. adv. vult.

DEMETRIADES J. read the following judgment. By means of the present recourses, which were heard together in view of their nature, the applicants seek the following relief:

20

- "A) A declaration that the respondent's act and/or decision to emplace the applicants to the post of Lands Officer 2nd Grade with effect from 1.1.1980, communicated to the applicants by letter of the respondent dated 28.2.1981 is null and void.
- B) A declaration that the applicants are entitled to be emplaced in the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade with effect from 1.1.1980 and an order of the Court that respondent's omission to emplace the applicants in the post of Land Officer 1st Grade ought not to

30

25

10

15

20

25

30

35

have been made and that whatever has been omitted should be performed."

The applicants were, at the material time, serving in the post of Lands Officer, in the Department of Lands and Surveys. By virtue of the provisions of the Supplementary Budget Law (No. 4), 1980 (Law 45/80) and the Supplementary Appropriation (Development Fund) Law (No. 2), 1980 (Law 46/80), the title of the post of Lands Officer (on salary scale A 10) was substituted by the title of Lands Officer 2nd Grade (on salary scale A 10), as from the 1st January, 1980 and the applicants were emplaced, on the basis of the provisions of the above Laws, to the post of Lands Officer 2nd Grade, having been informed in writing by the respondent Commission, on the 28th February, 1981, about the aforesaid change of title of their post.

A relevant Order issued by the Council of Ministers under the provisions of section 3 of the Change of Titles Law. Cap. 40, was published in the Official Gazette (see Notification 354, in the Third Supplement, Part 1, dated the 12th December, 1980).

As by virtue of the provisions of the aforesaid Laws there was created a new post of Lands Officer 1st Grade (on salary scale A 11), counsel for the applicants submitted that on the basis of their qualifications and the relevant schemes of service, the applicants were entitled to be emplaced to the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade and that as a result of their aforesaid emplacement the rights of the applicants were affected and they were demoted. He further argued that by virtue of the provisions of the aforesaid Laws the post of Lands Officer was abolished and as in its place two new posts were created, namely the posts of Lands Officer 2nd Grade and Lands Officer 1st Grade, the applicants were entitled, as of right, to be emplaced to the higher post of Lands Officer 1st Grade, applying in this respect the established practice and Colonial Regulation 37.

On the other hand, counsel for the respondent Commission raised two preliminary objections, namely that the sub judice acts of the Commission are not of any executory

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

nature, in the sense of Article 146 of the Constitution, but of an informative nature and, therefore, they could not be challenged by a recourse and that the applicants lack legitimate interest under Article 146.2 of the Constitution to pursue further their present recourses.

I will deal first with the preliminary objections raised by counsel for the respondent Commission.

It is well settled that only executory acts or decisions, by means of which the will of the administration has been made known and which produce in themselves direct and immediate legal consequences, are amenable to the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 146 of the Constitution (see the Conclusions from the Case-Law of the Council of State in Greece, 1929 - 1959, pp. 236 - 237, Iordanou v. The Republic, (1985) 3 C.L.R. 476, 480, 481, Preza v. The Republic, (1985) 3 C.L.R. 1008, 1016-1018 and Polyviou v. The Improvement Board of Ayia Napa, (1985) 3 C.L.R. 1058, 1068) and that acts of an informatory nature lack executory character (see, inter alia, Christodoulides v. The Republic, (1985) 3 C.L.R. 1979, 1998, Tseriotis v. The Municipality of Nicosia, (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1, 8, Mavrogenis v. The Republic, (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1140, 1148 and Phylaktides v. The Republic, (1984) 3 C.L.R. 1328, 1332).

Reverting now to the facts of the present case and having considered the contents of the letter of the respondent Commission dated the 28th February, 1981, which is sub judice in the present proceedings, I have reached the conclusion that by means of such letter no decision was reached by the Public Service Commission as an administrative organ which is being communicated by it to the applicants but it simply informs the applicants about their position in the service on the application of the provisions of Law 46/80 and in conformity with the relevant Order of the Council of Ministers No. 34/80.

Therefore, no act or decision of the respondent Commission of an executory nature is contained in such letter,

5

25

30

which is only of an informatory character and, therefore, not amenable to the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 146 of the Constitution.

Coming now to the submission of counsel for the respondent Commission that the applicants do not possess a legitimate interest to pursue these recourses, counsel for the applicants argued that by their emplacement to the post of Lands Officer 2nd Grade the applicants were demoted and reduced in rank.

It is an undisputed fact that by the aforesaid change of title of their post the applicants suffered no financial detriment because the post of Lands Officer 2nd Grade is, also, on salary scale A 10, which was the salary of the post of Lands Officer previously held by the applicants.

15 Regarding now to their allegation that they were demoted and reduced in rank from a comparison of the duties and responsibilities, as these appear in the relevant schemes of service (see exhibits 1 and 3), it is abundantly clear that there is no substantial difference between them in this respect so as to lead one to the conclusion that they were placed in a disadvantageous position which affected the conditions and terms of their service to their prejudice.

Therefore, I am of the opinion that the applicants have no legitimate interest to pursue these recourses and that what was effected by the aforesaid Laws was a mere change in the title of their post as a result of which they could claim no more than what they had before.

Furthermore, the applicants could not claim that they were entitled to be emplaced to the post of Lands Officer 1st Grade as this would amount to a promotion for which they have no vested right (see, in this respect, inter alia, Economides v. The Republic, (1972) 3 C.L.R. 506. Leontiou v. The Republic, (1983) 3 C.L.R. 221 and Nicolaou v. The Republic, (1984) 3 C.L.R. 400).

I further find that as the respondent Commission had to apply the Law as it was there is no omission on their part

Demetriades J. Georghiou & Others v. Republic (1988)

to do anything which they were bound to do under the relevant legislation.

In the result, the present recourses fail and are dismissed accordingly.

Applicants to pay the costs of the respondent.

Recourses dismissed with costs against applicants.

5